MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL- BUDGET IMPACT ASSESSMENT

DIRECTORATE:	Economy and Environment
SERVICE AREA:	Green Spaces and Transport

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL	Increase recharges for Knotweed and other invasive species treatment
TITLE:	plans from £360 to £500
==:	plans from 1300 to 1300
BUDGET AREA:	Green Spaces
	S. S. Spaces
TOTAL BUDGET FOR	£-37861.00 (Income Budgets only)
THIS AREA:	
THIS AREA.	
TOTAL SAVING:	£ 4,600
	2 ,,555

PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING CAN BE ACHIEVED:

Currently the service area undertakes treatments plans (on a recharge basis) for invasive species such as Japanese Knotweed. Currently the recharge to landowners and internal departments is £360 for a 4-year treatment plan, it is proposed to increase this to £500.00. This still represents value for money when comparing charges levied by private companies who offer this service. Income for 2022-23 was £23,895.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PLANS TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC (where possible):

These proposals will have a minor impact on landowners; however they are responsible to deal with infestations on their land and could opt to use a private contractor.

Consider the 5 ways of working think about the *long-term* implications for future generations and *preventative services* while recognising that savings now will secure future services. **NB* IT IS IMPORTANT TO RECOGNISE THE SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL CHALLENGE FACING THE COUNCIL**.

Long-term guidance: Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs, including the future financial sustainability of the Council.

N/A

Prevention guidance: Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being and how this can be mitigated if the service reduces, or ceases.
N/A

STATUTORY ASSESSMENTS

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS ?	No
(Please tick) (Reminder- AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT,	
MARRIAGE or CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,	
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)	
DOES THE PROPOSAL IMPACT ON PEOPLE WHO FACE SOCIO -	No
ECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE? (Please tick) (Reminder-LOW	
INCOME/INCOME POVERTY, LOW WEALTH/OR NO WEALTH, MATERIAL	
DEPRIVATION, AREA DEPRIVATION, SOCIO-ECONOMIC BACKGROUND, and	
CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF THEM)	
DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE WELSH	No
LANGUAGE? (Please tick) (Reminder- OPPORTUNITIES TO USE THE	
LANGUAGE, PROMOTE THE LANGUAGE and/or TREATING THE WELSH	
LANGUAGE LESS FAVOURABLY THAN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE)	

NB* If you answered 'yes' to the any of the above, please complete an Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA). For further advice and guidance please see the <u>Integrated Impact Assessment</u> page on the Intranet. This Budget Impact Assessment, or an IIA, if relevant, must be submitted to be included as hyperlinks to all decision reports related to the proposed savings. **IIAs are NOT required for nil public impact proposals**.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. Summarise any feedback received.

Consider the 5 ways of working, in particular, involvement.

Involvement: Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

This proposal will be considered as part of the main budget consultation

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE THIS		No	
PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED?			
NB* Please seek guidance from the <u>Insights and Intelligence Team</u> , who can advise on whether a formal			
consultation is required and adherence to the Gunning Principles.			

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE, THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, AND THE MITIGATION YOU ARE PLANNING, PLEASE INDICATE THE **PUBLIC IMPACT RATING** APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (please tick):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
X				

3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PLANS TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION (where possible):

e.g. gradual reduction in service, alternative delivery models, managing demand, more efficient use of assets, income generation, transferrable skills of staff, commercialisation of the service etc.

In addition, consider the 5 ways of working, in particular, acting in *collaboration* with other service areas or partners.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives where they may be able to deliver a broadly equivalent service.

The implementation of these proposals will not have any direct impact on the organisation.

			<u></u>	
PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PLANS TO MITIGATE THE	IMPACT UPO	N MEMBERS OF STAFF	:	
These proposals will not have any direct impa	act on any exi	sting members of sta	aff.	
NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STAFF IN THE PROJECT:	N/A			
STAFF IN THE PROJECT:				
NUMBER OF POSTS IN THE PROJECT:	N/A			
(Reminder- PLEASE ENSURE YOU HAVE SOUGHT THE				
ADVICE OF PEOPLE SERVICES IN HOW TO MANAGE ANY				
STAFFING CHANGES)				
PLEASE SPECIFY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:		HOW MANY PO	STS?	
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A			
	21/4			
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A			
RETIREMENT:	N/A			
	1.4/			
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A	N/A		
REDUNDANCY: N/A				
PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL	This propo	sal will not affect the	emplovment status	
BE IMPLEMENTED:	of any members of staff.			
	•			
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT C		Yes		
DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN T	HE			
COUNCIL? (please tick) WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER No			No	
PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR VOLUNTARY SECTO			140	
(please tick)				

If yes to either of	the abo	ve, please conside	er the 5 ways of working	ng, in particular <i>integr</i>	ation.
		HE SAVING CAN B R PARTNERS (whe	E ACHIEVED IN A WAY re possible)	THAT WILL MITGATE	THE IMPACT ON
N/A					
TAKING ACCOUNT	T 05 TU	- ADOVE THE INA	ACT DATING DEFINITION	ONIC AND THE MITTER	ATION VOLLARS
	SE INDIC		ACT RATING DEFINITION SATIONAL IMPACT RA		
NIL		MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT		IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
Х					
4 . I.B.W. TO DOLL	OV AND	600000ATF 05	NECTIVES.		
4. LINKS TO POLI	CY AND	CORPORATE OF	BJECTIVES		
DOES THE SAVIN	GS PROP	OSAL LINK TO AN'	Y OF THE FOLLOWING	?	
POLICY ARE	ΞA		WHAT	IS THE LINK?	
CORPORATE PLA	N and	No			
WELL-BEING					
OBJECTIVES (pleasestate which objects)					
STATUTORY DUT		Yes			
WELSH GOVERNI GUIDANCE or STI		No			
5. OTHER RISK(S)) AND SI	ENSITIVITIES			
5. OTTER RISK(5)	, AILD SI				
	HAVE ANY <u>OTHER</u> RISKS/SENSITVITIES BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL?				
DI FACE DECORIO	- 4411/ DE	LEVANIT INICORNA	TION (Bid and Const		TELLIAC NOT BEEN
CAPTURED.	E ANY RE	LEVANT INFORMA	ATION (Risks and Sensi	tivities) WHICH YOU F	EEL HAS NOT BEEN
Not all risks can	be miti	gated. Some ma	y need to be tolerate	ed in the context of	budget pressures.
HEAD OF SERVICE:Robert Hartshorn					
DATE OF COMPL	ETION:	11/12/23		·····	