MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
SERVICE AREA:	Property Services

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Require all leaseholders to discharge maintenance obligations (currently funded by PS)			
BUDGET AREA:	Maintenance			
TOTAL BUDGET FOR £60,000 % OF TOTAL BUDGET IN SAVINGS PROPOSAL:				
TOTAL SAVING: £20,000 for 20/21 with further reductions to be considered for future years				

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

Historically maintenance of some buildings (particularly community centres and pavilions) has been funded by PS despite the leases making the tenants responsible; currently we fund circa £60k per annum of works that are not our responsibility.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

Long-term guidance: Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

Likely to compromise the ability to meet long term needs, given that local groups rely on fundraising and/or grants; likely outcome is gradual decline in community facilities as revenues 'dry up'.

Prevention guidance: Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

Compromises the ability to maintain buildings that will add to future burdens in terms of 'backlog'

maintenance and/or eventual demolition costs.	

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)		X

NB* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

Involvement guidance: Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

None

The proposal will be included in the public engagement on the proposed 2020/21 budget.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM		
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	×	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	Х			

3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

There is unlikely to be any adverse impact immediately but an inability (by tenants) to maintain buildings will add to the organisation's future burden in terms of 'backlog' maintenance and/or eventual demolition costs.

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

There is unlikely to be any adverse impact immediately as the proposal will cut short-term workloads; however, an inability (by tenants) to maintain buildings will add to future burdens in terms of 'backlog' maintenance and/or eventual demolitions.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	None	
NUMBER OF POSTS IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	None	
NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SAVING:	None	
PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MAN	NY POSTS?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	N/A	
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	N/A	
RETIREMENT:	N/A	
REDEPLOYMENT:	N/A	
REDUNDANCY:	N/A	
PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:	From 1 st April 2020	
BE IMPLEMENTED: WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT	From 1 st April 2020 YES	NO
BE IMPLEMENTED:		NO X
BE IMPLEMENTED: WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK) WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT		
BE IMPLEMENTED: WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	YES	Х
BE IMPLEMENTED: WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK) WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	X NO X
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK) WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK) IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKIN THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND	YES YES G, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION	X NO X ON. DESCRIBE BELOW:

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

None required immediately, but consideration should be given (at some point) to the organisation's future burden in terms of 'backlog' maintenance and/or eventual demolition costs.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
х				

3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE. POLICY AREA WHAT IS THE LINK? WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT? **CORPORATE PLAN** and WELL-BEING **OBJECTIVES** (please None None state which objectives) **STATUTORY DUTIES** None None WELSH GOVERNMENT None None **GUIDANCE** or STRATEGY

4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION	YES	NO
WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	х	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

An inability (by tenants) to maintain buildings will result in potential risks to users of the buildings, add to future financial risk in terms of 'backlog' maintenance and/or eventual demolitions.

That risk may also add to future staff burdens

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

In the context of budget pressures, these risks will have to be tolerated and, in the short term, are tolerable.

5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

HEAD OF SERVICE: GM Williams

DATE OF COMPLETION: 26/11/19