MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

| DIRECTORATE: | Corporate Services |
|---------------|--------------------|
| | |
| SERVICE AREA: | Building Cleaning |

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

| SAVING PROPOSAL: | D19 - Increase charges for Portable Appliance Testing | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|------|
| BUDGET AREA: Building Cleaning | | | |
| | £71 210 | | 4.2% |

| TOTAL BUDGET FOR | £71,310 | % OF TOTAL BUDGET IN | 4.2% |
|------------------|---------|----------------------|------|
| THIS AREA: | | SAVINGS PROPOSAL: | |
| | • | | |

| TOTAL SAVING: | £3,000 |
|---------------|--------|
|---------------|--------|

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

The proposal is to increase the per unit charge for portable appliance testing by 2 pence per item.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

Nil impact upon the public as this service is only offered to businesses.

| DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO | YES | NO |
|--|-----|--------------|
| IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH | | |
| PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK) | | |
| (AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or | | |
| CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE, | | |
| RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION) | | \checkmark |
| | | |

NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

To date no consultation has been undertaken with thins particular proposal however, consultation will be undertaken as part of the Council's overall consultation on its draft budget

| IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE | YES | NO |
|---|--|----|
| THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE | v | |
| GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION. | As part of the budget consultation process | |

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

| NIL | MINOR | MODERATE | SIGNIFICANT | CRITICAL |
|--------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|
| IMPACT | IMPACT | IMPACT | IMPACT | IMPACT |
| V | | | | |
| | | | | |

3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD **IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION**:

There would be an impact on internal departments that use this service. PAT Testing is undertaken on a 3 year cycle.

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

There will be no effect on existing members of staff.

| NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED: | |
| | |
| | 0 |

| NOWIDER OF POSIS IN BODGET AREA AFFECTED. | 0 |
|---|---|
| | |
| NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE | 0 |
| PROPOSED SAVING: | |

| PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED: | HOW MANY POSTS ? |
|---|-------------------------|
| POST(S) ALREADY VACANT: | |
| | n/a |

| VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE: | n/a |
|----------------------|-----|
| RETIREMENT: | n/a |
| REDEPLOYMENT: | n/a |
| REDUNDANCY: | n/a |

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL
BE IMPLEMENTED:April 2020

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| | _ |
| 2 | |
| v | |
| | |
| | |
| YES | NO |
| | |
| | ٧ |
| | v |
| | |
| | √ |

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

There will be a 2 pence per item increase per item on their PAT testing. PAT testing is currently undertaken on a 3 year cycle.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives. We are in dialogue with community/third sector partners on reuse strategies which could facilitate more sustainable gains for all parties.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

| NIL | MINOR | MODERATE | SIGNIFICANT | CRITICAL |
|--------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|
| IMPACT | IMPACT | IMPACT | IMPACT | IMPACT |
| V | | | | |

3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

| POLICY AREA | WHAT IS THE LINK? | WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT? |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| CORPORATE PLAN | | |
| and WELL-BEING | | |
| OBJECTIVES (please | | |
| state which | | |
| objectives) | | |
| STATUTORY DUTIES | | |
| | | |
| WELSH | | |
| GOVERNMENT | | |
| GUIDANCE or | | |
| STRATEGY | | |

4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

| HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION | YES | NO | | | | |
|---|-----|----|--|--|--|--|
| WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK) | | | | | | |
| | V | | | | | |
| IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW: | | | | | | |
| PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, | | | | | | |
| FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc. | | | | | | |
| There is potential that we could lose customer base. | | | | | | |
| PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED? | | | | | | |
| NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES. | | | | | | |
| Market testing has been completed and the current proposal is still considered competitive. | | | | | | |

5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

No further comments.

HEAD OF SERVICE:Steve Harris.....