

MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Communities
--------------	-------------

SERVICE AREA:	Infrastructure (TEG)
---------------	----------------------

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	Withdraw the School Crossing Patrol sites that no longer meet the national standards criteria.
------------------	--

BUDGET AREA:	Traffic Management
--------------	--------------------

TOTAL BUDGET FOR THIS AREA:	£290,368	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	54%
-----------------------------	----------	--	-----

TOTAL SAVING:	£158,000
---------------	----------

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:
Remove provision of 22 existing sites that no longer meet the national guidance (this represents 66% of the proposed savings) and 13 non-qualifying sites withdrawn in previous years following resignations and retirements (representing 34% of the proposed saving). The provision of School Crossing Patrols is a non-statutory service.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:
CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, <i>LONG-TERM</i> IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND <i>PREVENTATIVE SERVICES</i> . RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.
Long-term guidance: <i>Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.</i>
Likely to lead to significant objections and concerns over safety from schools, parents and Members.
Prevention guidance: <i>Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.</i>
N/A

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK) (AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE, RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)	YES	NO
	X	
NB * IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE POLICY PORTAL. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAs WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.		

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.		
CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, <i>INVOLVEMENT</i> .		
Involvement guidance: <i>Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.</i>		
None to date. Further consultation with schools will be required.		
Consultation will form part of the public engagement on the 2020/21 Medium Term Financial Plan.		
IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY CONSULTATION.	YES	NO
	✓	

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):				
NIL IMPACT	MINOR IMPACT	MODERATE IMPACT	SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	CRITICAL IMPACT
		✓		

3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND FUTURE SERVICE PROVISION :
Public perception of road safety dangers could increase. Reputational damage to the authority.

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF :
The affected SCPs would need to be redeployed or made redundant.

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	4.66
--	------

NUMBER OF POSTS IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	40
---	----

NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SAVING:	Approximately 22
---	------------------

PLEASE SPECIFY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MANY POSTS ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	Nil
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	tbc
RETIREMENT:	tbc
REDEPLOYMENT:	tbc
REDUNDANCY:	tbc

PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:	September 2020
--	----------------

WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)	YES	NO
	✓	
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)	YES	NO
		✓

IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR *INTEGRATION*. DESCRIBE BELOW:

- THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND
- HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

Integration guidance: Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

The schools concerned will need to be consulted and are likely to express their concern / objection.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *COLLABORATION*.

Collaboration guidance: *Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.*

The affected schools could consider whether or not they wish to fund the service instead of the Infrastructure Division.

Volunteers could be considered to undertake the service if training was provided.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL IMPACT	MINOR IMPACT	MODERATE IMPACT	SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	CRITICAL IMPACT
		✓		

3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?
IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives)	WB04: Promote a modern, integrated and sustainable transport system that increases opportunity, promotes prosperity and minimizes the adverse impacts on the Environment	Could be reduction in number of children and parents who walk to school and an increase in vehicle usage.
STATUTORY DUTIES	N/A	
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY	N/A	

4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

HAVE ANY RISKS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS SAVING PROPOSAL? (PLEASE TICK)	YES	NO
	✓	

IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW:

PLEASE CONSIDER RISK TO SERVICE USERS, LOSS OF PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AND FUTURE IMPACTS, FINANCIAL RISK, RISK TO STATUTORY PERFORMANCE etc.

All sites have been surveyed and assessed in accordance with national criteria to identify those sites not qualifying for SCP provision.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?

NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

The Road Safety Team will continue to work with Schools and parents to provide advice and guidance on road safety concerns and remind all of parental responsibility for the journey to/from schools.

5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

HEAD OF SERVICE:Marcus Lloyd.....

DATE OF COMPLETION:07/11/19.....